PRODUCTION OF CORN CROPS INFLUENCED OF THE APPLICATION OF CHEMICAL FERTILIZERS AND AMENDMENTS

Pandia Olimpia (1), Ion Sărăcin (2), Gabriela Dobrotă (3), Ștefania Eliza Tănasie (1)

(1) University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Bucharest, Romania, e-mail olimpia_pandia@yahoo.com

- (2) University of Craiova, Faculty of Agriculture, Romania
- (3) University Constantin Brâncuşi of Târgu Jiu, Romania

Keywords: chemical fertilizers, amendments, physical properties, soil fertility

ABSTRACT

In Romania, corn crops occupy two wheat crops, where it finds a favorable environment in almost all regions of the country for development and the production of grain yields and a record green mass. The purpose of this paper is to explain the importance of knowing the physical, hydro physical and chemical properties of the soils studied by administering amendments and chemical fertilizers, in order to obtain the desired productions. The researches take place in the commune of Cârcea in Dolj County, SC MAIA SRL, where the amendments with CaCO₃ and mineral fertilization with NPK were applied in different quantities, and the effect on the agrochemical properties of soil with effect on production was applied. Also important is soil fertility and changes due to the application of CaCO₃ and NPK, with direct consequences on the production of corn grain. It should be noted that most newly established agricultural companies cannot provide the best technologies and the crops, varieties and hybrids used are only profitable by the correct administration of chemical, organic and water fertilizers.

INTRODUCTION

The maize is a very important cereal grain of phytotechnical particularities: good resistance to drought and heat, adaptability to different climatic conditions, relatively small number of diseases and pests, is a good precursory for many plants, a good capitalization of organic and mineral fertilizers. Within Oltenia region, corn occupies the first place among the field crops (*Bonea and Urechean, 2011*). The use of heterosis has determined a true revolution in corn cropping technology, countries which are great corn providers, among them, Romania, use only hybrids (*Bonea and Urechean, 2015*).

Continuing underestimation of the soil, as it does not bring an immediate profit and the effects of its degradation are felt slower than the degradation of water and air, is a serious concern not only for Romania but also for the whole contemporary society (*Munteanu I*, 2006).

In the market economy, land valuation is very complex. In addition to the quality of the land on the basis of its intrinsic attributes (ecotourism), other economic, infrastructure and social elements influence economic value (*Mihalache M., Ilie L., 2009*).

Since 1962, the effect of different types of nitrogen fertilizers, complex fertilizers, organo-mineral fertilizers and slow release of nitrogen has been studied on the production of wheat, maize, sunflower and sugar beet, the results demonstrating that no differences in production between assortments, to the equivalent of the active substance (*Coculescu et al., 1968; Hera et al., 1978*). Culture plants react differently to fertilizer application. Thus, wheat has harvested nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers better than corn in both the first and subsequent years of application (*Coculescu et al., 1968*).

Corn, sugar beet, potato, sunflower are highly potassium-consuming, and react better to the application of potassium fertilizers, to nitrogen and phosphorus agglomerates.

In addition to the direct effect of increasing the production of maize produced by the separate application of fertilizers with a certain element, an important role has the interaction between them when applied together.

The combined influence of cultivar and crop technology (application of irrigation and administration of appropriate fertilizer doses) leads to changes in the main physiological processes that can lead to optimization of technologies in order to obtain maximum yields at doses of rationally applied fertilizers (*Pandia Olimpia 2006 and Saracin I. 2010*).

Generally speaking, it is necessary to know the specific problems that may arise in different geographical areas due to the soil and climate conditions, the biological particularities of the cultivated plants, as well as the cultivation technologies used.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

SC MAIA SRL owns 45 ha of agricultural land in the commune of Cârcea, Dolj county, which is cultivated with: corn, wheat, rape, lucerne and sunflower. The studied years were 2015-2016 and included a number of 12 soil samples randomly harvested per hectare of cultivated agricultural land and we kept a batch for the blank sample that did not intervene. The characteristic soil of this area is luvic reddish brown which has a lower natural potential and therefore requires a correction of its acidity by fine-tuning or by applying different doses of NPK.

The experience was located in the autumn of 2015, when land finishing was done with 4 t / ha with $CaCO_3$. Being a polyfactorial experience with three factors, it was followed:

Factor A - Fertilization level with N with four graduations:

 $a1 - N_0$

a2-N₈₀

a3-N₁₂₀

a4-N₁₆₀

Factor B - Fertilization with phosphorus and potassium with four graduations:

 $b1 - P_0$

b2- P₄₀

b3- P₈₀K₆₀

b4-P₁₂₀K₈₀

Factor C - Amendments with two graduations:

c1-unamended

 $c2-CaCO_3 - 4 t / ha$.

For the soil chemical, physical and hydrophysical analyzes (*ICPA 1987; ICPA 1980*), were performed by methods known as:

The pH of the soil was measured by the potentiometric method, which is based on the determination of hydrogen ions according to the potential difference between the two electrodes introduced into the soil suspension and the results are read on the scale of the measuring apparatus that is graduated in pH units.

The Ah was determined by treating the soil with the solution of an alkaline hydrolysis salt with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide in the presence of phenolphthalein.

Sb. The method of determination is to treat the soil with an excess of 0,05 HCl 0,005 n and the sum of the bases being equivalent to the amount of HCl consumed in the reaction is determined by titration with NaOH in the presence of the methyl red used as an indicator.

Phosphorus was determined by the Engner-Riehm-Domingo method and was carried out by removing the mobile phosphorus with a solution of ammonium lactate acetate. The concentration in the phosphorus thus obtained is determined by colorimetry.

Potassium was determined by the same method as phosphorus, and its dosing was carried out on a flame photometer.

For the determination of Humus, the Walkle and Black method was used and was made by oxidizing the organic substance in the soil with potassium dichromate in the presence of sulfuric acid and titrating excess potassium dichromate with a Mohr's salt solution.

Nt was obtained by applying the Kjeldahl method, which was done by soil mineralization with concentrated sulfuric acid, and by measuring excess sulfuric acid with 0.1N NaOH, the nitrogen content of the sample to be analyzed can be calculated.

Also the exchangeable hydrogen was made by percolation with a solution of 1n potassium acetate. For the hydroscopicity coefficient the Mitescherlich method was used, the wicking coefficient was determined by the Kacinscki NA method, the penetration resistance was determined by a laboratory penetrometer, the soil moisture determined by drying in the laboratory oven at 110°C. Volumetric weight was determined by harvesting soil samples, weighing them before and after drying, and making the calculation.

RESEARCH RESULTS

Following chemical, physical and hydro physical determinations of soil samples taken before the establishment of maize crops and chemical treatments on the soil and application of amendments where the following results were obtained.

At depths of 0-25 cm, the total porosity is close to the minimum limit with slightly different values, this is also due to agricultural works, whereas aeration porosity has values of 24.1% and is satisfactory for this depth threshold. Apparent density has lower surface values but slightly increased progressively, but penetration resistance varies from $40.29 \text{ g}/\text{cm}^3$ to $58.23 \text{ g}/\text{cm}^3$.

Table 1
Physical and hydro physical characteristics of the 12 red luvic red soil samples harvested on SC MAIA SRL's agricultural land in September – 2015

Ground	Depth Porosity		Porosity		Depth Porosity Yes Resistanc		Resistance	Hydrophysical indicators			
test	-	Total	Of air		to	СН	CO	CC	I.U.A.		
					penetration						
	cm	%	%	g/cm ²	Kg/cm ²	%	%	%	%		
P1	0-25	48	17	1,38	49,18	6,78	12,1	22,2	11,04		
P2	0-25	50	24,5	1,32	40,29	6,45	12,0	21,4	11,01		
P3	0-25	49	118	1,42	56,69	7,52	13,0	23,0	12,15		
P4	0-25	49	17,7	1,44	58,23	7,73	13,02	23,6	12,25		
P5	0-25	50	24,1	1,30	40,60	6,56	12,01	21,2	11,02		
P6	0-25	50	23,8	1,32	41,32	6,51	12,0	21,9	11,02		
P7	0-25	50	24,2	1,35	41,32	6,51	12,0	21,9	11,02		
P8	0-25	48	19,3	1,40	50,16	7,10	13,85	22,7	11,14		
P9	0-25	49	23,2	1,33	44,47	6,58	12,8	21,3	11,03		
P10	0-25	49	19,6	1,39	52,92	7,22	13,87	22,6	11,27		
P11	0-25	49	19	1,40	50,10	6,86	12,1	22,8	11,04		
P12	0-25	49	19	1,40	50,10	6,86	12,1	22,8	11,04		

Source: Author

The hydroscopicity coefficient ranges from 6.45% to 7.73%, as the determinations on many places are constant. The wicking coefficient values show a small variation on this profile, the water field capacity ranges from 21.2% to 23.6% of the dry soil weight to 25 cm depth. The range of active humidity ranged between 11.01% and 12.25% at the surface and at a depth of 25 cm. To demonstrate the chemical composition of the soil studied, numerous chemical, physical and hydro physical determinations were performed:

Table 2
The chemical properties of the 12 red luvic red soil samples harvested on the agricultural land of SC MAIA SRL in September – 2015

Grou nd test	Depth	pH	Humus	N total	NO ₃	P ₂ O ₅ total	P mobil e	K mobil e	Ah	S.B.	S.H	Т	V
	cm	H ₂ O	%	%	mg/100 g sol	%	ppm.	ppm.	me/10	00 g so	ı		%
P1	0-25	5,5	2,21	0,107	5,72	0,110	13,52	106	3,06	21,6	7,8	20,72	66,45
P2	0-25	5,5	2,21	0,107	5,72	0,110	13,52	106	3,06	21,6	7,8	20,72	66,45
P3	0-25	5,3	2,23	0,107	4,13	0,085	13,19	107	2,95	21,3	7,6	21,84	67,20
P4	0-25	5,3	2,25	0,109	3,13	0,086	13,06	108	2,94	20,9	7,5	22,88	69,47
P5	0-25	5,5	2,21	0,107	5,72	0,110	13,52	106	3,06	21,6	7,8	20,72	66,45
P6	0-25	5,4	2,25	0,109	3,13	0,086	13,06	108	2,94	20,9	7,5	22,88	69,47
P7	0-25	5,6	2,28	0,110	2,19	0,087	12,11	111	2,68	20,9	7,4	24,77	71,50
P8	0-25	5,5	2,21	0,107	5,72	0,110	13,52	106	3,06	21,6	7,8	20,72	66,45
P9	0-25	5,4	2,28	0,110	2,19	0,087	12,11	111	2,68	20,9	7,4	24,77	71,50
P10	0-25	5,4	2,28	0,110	2,19	0,087	12,11	111	2,68	20,9	7,4	24,77	71,50
P11	0-25	5,5	2,21	0,107	5,72	0,110	13,52	106	3,06	21,6	7,8	20,72	66,45
P12	0-25	5,5	2,21	0,107	5,72	0,110	13,52	106	3,06	21,6	7,8	20,72	66,45

Source: Author

Based on these results of the chemical determinations on the studied soil, they fall within the limits of characterization of red luvic red soil. The soil reaction is moderately acidic, and the pH value at the 12 samples collected was between 5.3-5.6. The humus content for all 12 samples is low with values between 2.21-2.28%. But, as well as total nitrogen as supply, it has low values ranging from 0.107-0.110%, these results being correlated with the values of the humus content. Mobile phosphorus existing in the arable layer is poorly supplied, medium supplied with mobile potassium. Nitric nitrogen has a higher concentration in samples 1, 2, 5, 8, 11 and 12 with slight decrease for soil samples 3, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10.

The hydrolytic acidity is higher in the arable layer and has values ranging from 3.06 me / 100g soil with a slight decrease to 2.68 me / 100g soil. The total cationic exchange capacity has average values increasing depending on the increase in the amount of clay from 20.72 to 24.77%. The degree of saturation in the bases is small and has values ranging from 66.45 to 71.50%, being stable for this depth.

In the autumn of 2016, you made me precise in October, after the soil was subjected to the application of chemical amendments and fertilizers after the work plan, following their effect on the improvement of soil quality and the production of grain trying to collect the samples in approximately the same places as in 2015. Following the physical determinations, the following results were obtained:

Table 3
The physical and hydro physical characteristics of the twelve red luvic red soil samples harvested on the agricultural land of SC Progresul SRL in October – 2016

Ground	Depth	Porosity	Yes	Resistance to	Conductivity
test		Total		penetration	hydraulics
	cm	%	g/cm ²	Kgf/cm ²	mm/h
P1	0-25	50	1,38	37,19	9,9
P2	0-25	57	1,28	32,41	9,49
P3	0-25	50	1,43	38,18	10,13
P4	0-25	49	1,44	34,17	10,13
P5	0-25	56	1,31	39,61	12,88
P6	0-25	56	1,36	32,41	12,88
P7	0-25	56	1,36	32,41	12,88

P8	0-25	52	1,39	36,15	11,57
P9	0-25	55	1,37	33,86	12,88
P10	0-25	52	1,39	36,15	11,57
P11	0-25	51	1,36	37,19	10,93
P12	0-25	51	1,35	37,19	11,57

Source: Author

After chemical, physical and hydro physical determinations, it can be noticed that on the fined agro fond, but also on the background of $N_{160}P_{120}K_{80}$, there was a slight increase compared to the unmodified land and without treatments to the maize crop until the harvest. Thus, total porosity increased 49 to 56% and apparent density ranged from 1.28 to 1.44.

After application of chemical amendments and fertilizers, the soil pH improved with minor modifications due to fined agro fond, having a positive influence on the establishment of corn crops and a great contribution to the physical properties of the soil.

Soil humus content does not undergo any major changes except in combination with chemical fertilizers, with increases of 2,32 on unimpeded agro fond and 2,40% on agrofonds treated. Soil nitrogen has also had a similar evolution to humus, and phosphorus and potassium have significant increases in all variants on chemically-treated and fined agrofonds.

Table 4
The chemical properties of the 12 red luvic red soil samples harvested from SC MAIA SRL's agricultural land in October – 2016

30 MAIA SILE 3 agriculturar land ili October – 2010							
Ground			P mobile	K mobile			
test	cm	H ₂ O	%	%	mg/100g sol	ppm.	ppm.
P1	0-25	6,5	2,32	0,137	5,72	15,51	113
P2	0-25	6,5	2,32	0,137	5,72	15,53	113
P3	0-25	6,3	2,35	0,148	4,13	16,20	114
P4	0-25	6,4	2,37	0,139	3,15	16,08	115
P5	0-25	6,4	2,32	0,137	5,72	15,57	113
P6	0-25	6,4	2,37	0,139	3,15	14,07	115
P7	0-25	6,4	2,38	0,140	2,19	16,14	118
P8	0-25	6,5	2,32	0,137	5,72	17,60	114
P9	0-25	6,3	2,40	0,140	2,19	15,14	117
P10	0-25	6,3	2,40	0,140	2,19	15,13	117
P11	0-25	6,5	2,32	0,137	5,72	16,55	114
P12	0-25	6,5	2,32	0,137	5,72	16,55	115

Source: Author

Table 5 Production of grain maize obtained in 2016

Factor	Average production					
	q/ha					
Α	76,4					
В	78,7					
С	unamended	68,4				
	CaCO ₃ -4 t/ha 79,6					

Source: Author

Due to these improvements in chemical and biochemical soil content, the established corn culture has capitalized well in terms of quantity and quality of production over the unmodified agrofonds, knowing that maize assimilates both low doses of NPK and high doses.

CONCLUSIONS

Due to the application of calcium-based modifications and the application of variable doses of NPK, an improved acid reaction of the soil and a better supply of plants with fertilizing elements and water is achieved.

Analyzing the interaction between the three factors, it can be concluded that all three have contributed positively to the production of grain maize compared to the unmodified and untreated control.

From the results obtained, it is clearly evident the necessity of chemical and mineral fertilization, the treatment of slightly acidic soil with amendments before the establishment of the culture, in order to obtain planned results.

Of the above, it is clear that apparent density is positively influenced by agrofond and applied fertilizer doses.

The total porosity, hydraulic conductivity has remarkable improvements on the fertilized agrofond, and the penetration resistance is significantly diminished.

In conclusion, soil reaction has been improved and it is recommended that treatments and appropriate use of amendments be made to obtain production increases in the established crop.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1]. **Bonea D., Urechean V**., 2011. *The evaluation water stress in maize (Zea mays L.) using selection indices.* Romanian Agricultural Research. 28:80-86.
- [2]. **Bonea D., Urechean V.**, 2015. The evaluation of heterosis for Romanian maize germplasm. Pak. J. Bot. 47(6): 2387-2390.
- [3]. **Coculescu, et al.**, 1968 Influence of mineral fertilizers on the assimilation of nutrients in the main crop plants. (Influenţa îngrăşămintelor minerale asupra asimilării elementelor nutritive la principalele plante de cultură). Annals of I.C.C.P.T., 34, B.
- [4]. **Hera, Cr., Borlan Z**., 1978 Effect of Long Fertilization with Nitrogen and Phosphorus on the Production and the N-P Corn Grain Relationship. (Efectul fertilizării îndelungate cu azot şi fosfor asupra producţiei şi a relaţiei N-P din boabele de porumb). Year. ICCPT Fundulea, vol. XLIII, Bucharest
- [5]. **Mihalache M., Ilie L**., 2009 Bonification of Agricultural Land. (Bonitarea terenurilor agricole). Publisher Dominor
- [6]. **Munteanu I**., 2006, *Pedophilosophy, a new field of philosophy of science, the Congress of Soil Science*
- [7]. **Pandia Olimpia**., 2006, Research on the effect of fertilizers on production and quality in maize. (Cercetări privind efectul îngrăşămintelor asupra producţiei şi calităţii la porumb). Doctoral thesis, USAMVB Timişoara
- [8]. Saracin Ion, Olimpia Pandia, et al. 2010, Apparatrus dor determination soil pentration resistance for elstablishing work index parameters agricultural machinery, Metalurgia International, nr.11, pp. 91-93
- [9].*** ICPA, -1987, Methodology of pedological studies I, II. (Metodologia elaborării studiilor pedologice I, II).
- [10].*** **ICPA**, 1987, Methodology of pedological studies III. (Metodologia elaborării studiilor pedologice III).
- [11].*** **ICPA**, -1980, Plant Analysis Methodology for Assessment of Mineral Nutrition Status. (Metodologie de analiză a plantei pentru evaluarea stării de nutriție mineral).
- [12].*** Code of Best Practice on the Farm National Research and Development Institute for Pedology, Agro chemistry and Environmental Protection (ICPA-Bucharest). (Codul de bune practici în fermă Institutul Național de Cercetare-Dezvoltare Pentru Pedologie, Agrochimie și Protecția Mediului (ICPA-București).