

DIVERSITY, DISTRIBUTION AND ECOLOGY OF THE DACIAN BEECH FORESTS IN THE ȘUȘARA VALLEY- PART OF THE NERA GORGES BEUȘNIȚA NATIONAL PARK

MARIANA NICULESCU¹, IULIAN BERCEA¹, ALMA LIOARA NICOLIN², ȘTEFAN STĂNESCU³, ILIE SILVESTRU NUȚĂ⁴, LAURENȚIU NICULESCU¹, OVIDIU NEAG⁵

¹University of Craiova, Faculty of Agriculture and Horticulture, Departament of Botany, 19 Libertatii Street, 200583, Craiova, Romania, mniculescum@yahoo.com

²Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Timișoara, Departament of Botany, E-mail: alma_grigoriu@hotmail.com

³Forestry Direction Reșița

⁴Segarcea Forest Manager's Office, silvestru1969@yahoo.com

⁵Bozovici Forest Manager's Office

Key words: Șușara Valley, dacian beech forests, diversity, distribution, ecology

ABSTRACT

The examined area, process which started in 2011, is situated along the course of Șușara, a tributary of the Nera river and is an integral part of the Nera Gorges-Beușnița National Park. The wooden vegetation is represented by forests, underwoods and riverside coppices, the forests occupy the largest area. In the Șușara basin, important areas are occupied by the beech forests belonging to the *Phyllitidi – Fagetum Soó 1964* plant community. A new infra-coenotaxon was described: *Phyllitidi – Fagetum Soó 1964 rusconetosum hypoglossae* nova subass.

Several types of analysis concerning the ecological features, the local distribution, the homogeneity (by using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index), the floristic composition and the economic importance were performed for this plant community.

INTRODUCTION

The examined area, process which started in 2011, is situated along the course of Șușara, a tributary of the Nera river and is an integral part of *Nera Gorges-Beușnița National Park*. The general aspect of vegetation in the Șușara Valley represents an image of the very different stationary conditions, to which one can add the influence of the anthropo-zoogenous factors. Herbous vegetation is represented by the meadow vegetation, aquatic and paludous vegetation, ruderal vegetation and saxicole vegetation. The wooden vegetation is represented by forests, underwoods and riverside coppices, the forests occupy the largest area. In this paper, we present one plant community, belonging to *Querco-Fagetea* class.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field research on the field was carried out between 2011-2013, during all seasons and having clearly defined itineraries. The research underpinned solid bibliographical documentation with respect to the physical and geographical environment: the relief, geology-lithology, types of rocks, hydrographic net, soils and the general and local climate. The materials used were topographic, geological and pedological maps. The itinerary was marked on the topographic map. For the study of the vegetal carpet in Lapușnic Valley, we have used methods of phyto-sociologic research characteristic to the Central European phyto-sociologic School, which was based on the principles and methods elaborated by J. Braun-Blanquet (1926) and adapted by A. Borza (1934) to the particularities of our country's vegetation. The basic coenotaxonomic unit which was used to study the vegetation was the vegetal association. The associations were identified and

distinguished according to the characteristic, edifying, dominant and differential species. For the classification of the plant associations, we have used the synthesis papers on the Romanian or European vegetation, elaborated by G. Coldea (1986, 1991), D. Ivan – coordinator (1992), L. Mucina, G. Grabher & T. Ellmauer (1993), J.S. Rodwell, J.H.J. Schaminée, L. Mucina, S. Pignatti, J. Dring, D. Moss (2002).

The woody plant communities have been analyzed and characterized from the chorological, ecological point of views. They were also examined according to their floristic composition and physiognomy, syndynamics and economics.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the Șușara Valley, important areas are occupied by the beech forests belonging to the Ass. *Phyllitidi – Fagetum* Soó 1964 plant community.

Ass. *Phyllitidi-Fagetum* Vida (1959) 1963 (Syn: *Phyllitidi-Aceretum*, *Acereto-Fagetum* auct. roman.)

(Table no. 1)

In the Șușara Valley the phytocoenoses of this plant community are situated on the valleys with moderate or high hydric regime, preferring slopes whose inclination is between 10⁰-40⁰. The plant community dominated by *Fagus sylvatica* și *Phyllitis scolopendrium* (fig. 1, 2) develop on the limestone bedrock with rendzine type soils, rich in skeleton, with humus type mull.

In the phytocoenotic composition in the relevés analyzed on the Șușara Valley *Fagus sylvatica* is dominant, *Acer pseudoplatanus* is rarely. Are generally young forests and provide a canopy cover between 65-85%. The level of the bushes is poorly developed.

Typical taxa of the *Symphyto-Fagion*, *Moehringio muscosae-Acerenion* and *Fagetalia* occur in this plant community, such: *Stellaria nemorum*, *Asperula taurina*, *A. odorata*, *Pulmonaria rubra*, *Lunaria rediviva*, *Sanicula europaea*, *Luzula luyuloides*, *Dryopteris filix-mas*, *Rubus hirtus*, *Euonymus europaea*, *Asarum europaeum*. The remaining coenotaxa are poorly represented.

The phytocoenosis analyzed on the Șușara Valley, part of the *Nera Gorges-Beușnița National Park* is characterized by the presence of a number of species characteristic of the class *Quercetea pubescenti-petraeae*.

In some phytocoenoses *Ruscus hypoglossum* was dominant and these phytocoenoses were assigned to a new infra-coenotaxon - *rusconetosum hypoglossae* nova subass. (fig. 3).

In addition to the typical plant community, in 7 of the 12 relevés analyzed, abundance dominance of *Ruscus hypoglossum* is very high, which is why we considered this phytocoenoses a nova subass. *rusconetosum hypoglossae*
Holotypus hoc loco: table no. 1 rel. 11.

Ruscus hypoglossum has a good development in these phytocoenoses, due to the favourable ecologic conditions: calcareous substrate, soil and trophicity higher temperature. Beside the mentioned species, in the same ecologic conditions several species occur: *Oryzopsis virescens* and *Ruscus aculeatus*.

To these are added and the fact that unlike the southern part of the country (the counties Dolj and Gorj) where the species was collected by peasants used as a species in flower arrangements and sold in markets, here we see that it has a much better protection, which allows a better development.

Observation: Young forest, beech tree's diameter rather small. *Rubus hirtus* in some surveys of the Ungureanu Valley, stifles grassy layer.

High degree of the human impact, here were made in deforestation about 40 years ago.

This plant community is part of the **91V0 habitat - Dacian Beech forests (*Symphyto-Fagion*)**; CLAS. PAL.: 41.1D2; HdR R4101, R4103, R4104, R4108, R4109, R4116



Fig. 1. Ass. *Phyllitidi* – *Fagetum* Soó 1964 on the Ungureanu Valley



Fig. 2. *Phyllitis scolopendrium* (L.) Newman in the *Phyllitidi* – *Fagetum* Soó 1964 plant community on the Șuşarei Valley



Fig. 3. *Ruscus hypoglossum* L. in the *Phyllitidi – Fagetum* Soó 1964 plant community on the Ungureanu Valley

Ass. *Phyllitidi – Fagetum* Soó 1964

Table no. 1

No. of relevée	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	K
Altitude m.o.s. (x 10 m)	45	45	48	48	48	52	50	55	55	55	55	55	
Exposure	E	V	NV	SV	V	SV	NV	V	V	V	E	NV	
Inclination (in grades)	5	30	40	35	20	30	30	30	30	30	20	20	
Canopy	0,6	0,6	0,7	0,7	0,7	0,7	0,7	0,7	0,7	0,7	0,8	0,7	
Coverage of herbaceous layer (%)	30	35	30	30	25	35	40	35	35	35	45	50	
Sampling surface (m2)	40	10	10	40	10	10	40	10	40	40	40	40	
	0	00	00	0	00	00	0	00	0	0	0	0	
Char. ass.													V
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> var. <i>moesiaca</i>	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	V
<i>Phyllitis scolopendrium</i>	1	1	+	1-2	1-2	+	1	+1	1	1	+	1	V
Moehringio – Acerion et Symphyto – Fagion													
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Acer platanoides</i>	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	1	1	V
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+1	+1	1	1	IV
<i>Polystichum aculeatum</i>	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	V
<i>Lunaria rediviva</i>	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	V
<i>Festuca drymeia</i>	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	1	1	+	+	IV
<i>Polystichum setiferum</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	III
<i>Stellaria nemorum</i>	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	III
<i>Ruscus hypoglossum</i>	+	+	+	+	+	1-2	2	2	1-2	2	2-3	2-3	V
<i>Asperula taurina</i>	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	IV
Fagetalia													
<i>Galeobdolon luteum</i>	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Veronica urticifolia</i>	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Mycelis muralis</i>	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	III
<i>Pulmonaria rubra</i>	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	V
<i>Dentaria bulbifera</i>	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	III
<i>Lapsana communis</i>	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	III
<i>Luzula luzuloides</i>	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	III
<i>Hedera helix</i>	+	+	+	+	+1	+1	+	+	1	1	+1	+	V
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i>	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	III
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i> reg.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	II
<i>Asarum europaeum</i>	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	V
<i>Asperula odorata</i>	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	III
<i>Sanicula europaea</i>	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Ranunculus auricomus</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	II
<i>Mercurialis perennis</i>	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	III
Querco – Fagetea													
<i>Dryopteris filix-mas</i>	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	III
<i>Brachypodium silvaticum</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	V
<i>Galium schultesii</i>	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	III
<i>Euonymus europaea</i>	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	III
<i>Staphylea pinnata</i>	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	III

<i>Geranium robertianum</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	V
<i>Carpinus betulus</i>	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	II
<i>Clematis vitalba</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Coryllus avellana</i>	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Sorbus torminalis</i>	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	III
<i>Geum urbanum</i>	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
Quercetea pubescenti-petraea													
<i>Carpinus orientalis</i>	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	III
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i>	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	III
<i>Cynancum vincetoxicum</i>	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	III
<i>Euonymus verrucosus</i>	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	III
<i>Fraxinus ornus</i>	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Scutellaria altissima</i>	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	III
<i>Ruscus aculeatus</i>	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	IV
<i>Oryzopsis virescens</i>	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	III
<i>Silene nutans</i>	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	II
Variae Syntaxa													
<i>Rubus hirtus</i>	1	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	2	2	V
<i>Glechoma hederacea</i>	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	III
<i>Oxalis acetosella</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II
<i>Sedum maximum</i>	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I
<i>Ceterach officinarum</i>	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I

Place and data of the relevés: 1, 2, 3, 4 – Șușarei Valley; 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10–Ungureanu Valley, 19.VII.2012

CONCLUSIONS

The examined area, process which started in 2011, is situated along the course of Lăpușnic, a tributary of the Nera river and is an integral part of the *Nera Gorges-Beușnița National Park*. The general aspect of vegetation in the Șușara Valley represents an image of the very different stationary conditions, to which one can add the influence of the anthropo-zoogenous factors. Herbous vegetation is represented by the meadow vegetation, aquatic and paludous vegetation, ruderal vegetation and saxicole vegetation. The wooden vegetation is represented by forests, underwoods and riverside coppices, the forests occupy the largest area. In this paper, we present one plant community, belonging to *Querco-Fagetea* class. *In the Șușara basin, important areas are occupied by the beech forests belonging to the Phyllitidi – Fagetum Soó 1964 plant community. A new infra-coenotaxon was described: Phyllitidi – Fagetum Soó 1964 rusconetosum hypoglossae nova subass. Ruscus hypoglossum has a good development in these phytocenoses, due to the favourable ecologic conditions: calcareous substrate, soil and trophicity higher temperature. This plant community is part of the 91V0 habitat - Dacian Beech forests (Symphyto-Fagion); CLAS. PAL.: 41.1D2; HdR R4101, R4103, R4104, R4108, R4109, R4116*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work has benefited by the financial support from the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) under the Operational Programme Environment (Priority Axis 4) by the project “*Developing management plan of Nera Gorges-Beușnița National Park (ROSCI0031 and ROSPA0020 Nera Gorges-Beușnița)*” - Manager Lecturer dr. Alma NICOLIN.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. **Borhidi, A.** - 1995, *Social Behaviour types, the naturalness and relative ecological indicator values of the higher plants in the Hungarian Flora*, Acta Botanica Hungarica, 39(1-2): Budapest, 81-97 pp.
2. **Braun– Blanquet J.** – 1932, *Plant Sociology, the study of plant communities*, Ed. Mc-Graw – Hill Book Company, Inc. New – York and London, 31-33

3. **Coldea G.**- 1991, *Prodrome des associations végétales des Carpates du sud-ouest (Carpates Roumaines)*, Documents phytosociologiques N.S., Camerino, Vol. 13, pp. 317-539
4. **Mucina, L.** – 1997, *Conspectus of Classes of European vegetation*, *Folia Geobot.Phytotax.*, Praha, 32: 117-172.
5. **Niculescu, M.** - 2006, *Flora and vegetation in the upper basin of the Lunca River*, Ph.D. thesis, "Babes-Bolyai" University of Cluj-Napoca,.347 pp.
6. **Rodwell J.S., Schaminée J.H.J., Mucina L., Pignatti S., Dring J., Moss D.**- 2002, *The Diversity of European Vegetation*, Raport EC-LNV nr. 2002/054, Wageningen
7. **Sanda, V., Popescu, A., Barabaș, N.** – 1997, *Cenotaxonomia și caracterizarea grupărilor vegetale din România*, St. Com., Muz. Șt. Nat. Bacău, 14: 5-366.
8. **XXX** – 2007, *European Commission Interpretation Manual of European Union Habitats - EUR27*, DG Environment - Nature and Biodiversity.
9. **XXX**- 1964-1980, *Flora Europea*, vol.I-IV, *University Press*, Cambridge
10. **XXX**-1952-1976, *Flora României*, vol. I-XIII, *Ed. Acad. Romane*, București